PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the		PA	TENT COOPER	RATION TREA	TY PAR			
NTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY To:			ITY		PCT PRANSLATION			
:								
				WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY				
					(PCT Rule 43bis.1)			
				Date of mailing (day/month/year)	See Form PCT/ISA/210 (sheet 2)			
Applicant's	or agent's file reference	:		FOR FURTHER				
1 .	International application No. PCT/EP2004/052947		International filing date 12.11.2004	day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year) 09.01.2004			
	Patent Classification (5/33, B60R2		national classification at g02b7/06	nd IPC				
Applicant ROBEF	RT BOSCH GM	вн						
1. T1	his opinion contains ind	ications rela	ting to the following item	is:				
	Box No. I	Basis of the	opinion					
	Box No. II	Priority						
	Box No. III	Non-establis	shment of opinion with re	gard to novelty, inven	tive step and industrial applicability			
	Box No. IV	Lack of unit	y of invention					
	Box No. V		atement under Rule 43bion; citations and explanation		novelty, inventive step or industrial tement			
<u> </u>	Box No. VI	Certain doci	uments cited					
	Box No. VII	Certain defe	ects in the international ap	pplication				
L	Box No. VIII	Certain obse	ervations on the internation	onal application				
2. F	URTHER ACTION							
In th	If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority of the than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.							
l w	If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.							
Fe	or further options, see F	orm PCT/IS	A/220.					
3. Fe	or further details, see no	otes to Form	PCT/ISA/220.					
Name and r	nailing address of the I	SA/EP	····	Authorized officer				
Facsimile No.				Telephone No.				

International application No.
PCT/EP2004/052947

Box	c No. I	Basis of this opinion
l.		regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was , unless otherwise indicated under this item.
		This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under
	-	Rule 12.3 and 23.1(b)).
2.		regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed nation, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
	a.	type of material
		a sequence listing
		table(s) related to the sequence listing
	b.	format of material
		in written format
		in computer readable form
	c.	time of filing/furnishing
		contained in the international application as filed.
		filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
		furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
3.		In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table(s) relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.
4.	Add	itional comments:

International application No.
PCT/EP2004/052947

Box	No. II Priority
1.	The following document has not yet been furnished: copy of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed (Rule 43bis.1 and 66.7(a)). translation of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed (Rule 43bis.1 and 66.7(b)). Consequently it has not been possible to consider the validity of the priority claim. This opinion has nevertheless been established on the assumption that the relevant date in the claimed priority date.
2.	This opinion has been established as if no priority had been claimed due to the fact that the priority claim has been found invalid (Rules 43bis.1 and 64.1). Thus for the purposes of this opinion, the international filing date indicated above is considered to be the relevant date.
3.	Additional observations, if necessary: The International Searching Authority has not been able to consider the validity of the priority claim because a copy of the priority document was not available to the International Searching Authority at the time that the search was conducted (Rule 17.1). This opinion has nevertheless been established on the assumption that the relevant date is the claimed priority date.

International application No.
PCT/EP2004/052947

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicabilicitations and explanations supporting such statement			/;		
1.	Statement				
	Novelty (N)	Claims 6, 11, 12	YES		
		Claims $1-5$, $7-10$	NO		
	Inventive step	(IS) Claims	YES		
		Claims 1-12			
	Industrial appl	licability (IA) Claims 1-12	YES		
		Claims			
2.	Citations and exp	- Planations:			
	1 The	present opinion makes reference to the following			
	doc	uments:			
	D1:	EP-A2-0 585 186 (EASTMAN KODAK COMPANY; EASTMAN			
		KODAK CO) 2 March 1994 (1994-03-02)			
	D2:	DE 199 02 523 A1 (FUJI ELECTRIC CO., LTD.,			
		KAWASAKI, KANAGAWA, JP) 29 July 1999 (1999-07-			
		29)			
	D3:	EP-A1-0 108 484 (SAVAGE, JOHN M., JR) 16 May			
		1984 (1984-06-16)			
	D4:	US-B1-6 414 299 (CHUREI KIYOKAZU) 2 July 2002			
		(2002-07-02)			
	D5:	DE 198 05 000 A1 (ADAM OPEL AG, 65428			
		RUESSELSHEIM, DE) 12 August 1999 (1999-08-12)			
	D6:	PATENT ABSTRACTS OF JAPAN volume 016, no. 094			
		(M-1219) 9 March 1992 (1992-03-09 & JP 03 273953			
		A (AISIN SEIKI CO LTD), 5 December 1991 (1991-			
		12-05)			
	0 =1				
		present application does not meet the			
	requirements of PCT Article 33(1) because the				
	subject matter of claim 1 is not novel within the				
	meai	ning of PCT Article 33(2).			

International application No.
PCT/EP2004/052947

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

- 2.1 Document D1 (see figures 2-4) discloses (the references between parentheses relate to said document):
 - optically functional structural unit (figure 3) containing an optical functional element (14, 24) using an optical system (20) and a housing (15, 12) surrounding said element, in which an object-side part, functioning as a lens, of the optical system is in the form of an integral component of the housing of the structural unit (column 6, lines 18-26).
- DEPENDENT CLAIMS 2-12
 Claims 2-12 do not contain any features which, in combination with the features of any claim to which they refer, meet the PCT requirements for novelty and inventive step, see documents D1-D6.